DEC 1 1 2013

1

2

3

4 5

6

STATE OF MONTANA

MAX EDWARD SAYER

-VS-

Plaintiff.

Defendant.

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 ___ 26

27

28

IN THE JUSTICE COURT OF THE STATE OF MONTANA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MISSOULA
BEFORE Karen A. Orzech JUSTICE OF THE PEACE

Justice Court

Cause No. CR-Z013-11608-C2

COMPLAINT

SUZY BOYLAN, Assistant Chief Deputy County Attorney, deposes and says that on or about the 7th day of June, 2013, in Missoula County, the Defendant committed the offense of COUNT I: BURGLARY, a Felony, in violation of Montana law, namely: Mont. Code Ann. 45-6-204(1), punishable by 20 years MSP and/or \$50,000 fine.

The facts constituting the offense are:

COUNT I: On or about the 7th day of June, 2013, the above-named Defendant knowingly entered or remained unlawfully in an occupied structure and knowingly or purposely committed any offense within that structure, to wit: THEFT.

DATED this 11th day of December, 2013.

SUZY BOYLAN

Assistant Chief Deputy County Attorney

DEC 1 1 2013

.7

IN THE	JUSTICE COURT OF THE STATE OF MONTANA,	Justice Cour
	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MISSOULA	
BEFORE	Keren A. Orzech , JUSTICE OF THE PEAC	E

STATE OF MONTANA, Plaintiff,

-VS-

MAX EDWARD SAYER, Defendant.

STATE OF MONTANA

County of Missoula

Cause No. CR-2013_1/608-c2

AFFIDAVIT OF PROBABLE CAUSE

SUZY BOYLAN, Assistant Chief Deputy County Attorney, Montana, being first duly sworn upon oath, deposes and says:

SS

I have read the official law enforcement reports regarding the investigation of MAX EDWARD SAYER for allegedly committing the offense(s) set forth in the complaint in and based upon the information contained in the reports, if true, believe probable cause exists to justify charging the above-named defendant as specified in the accompanying complaint.

On or about the 7th day of June, 2013, Matthew Hagedal and Phoebe Fortunate reported a burglary at their residence on Stephens Avenue. They were in the process of moving to a new home. At one point, Hagedal left

the front door open while taking belongings to his car. When he re-entered his apartment, he saw someone trying to hide under a bed. The person eventually came out, and Hagedal recognized him as his neighbor named "Max," later identified as the above-named Defendant. Hagedal told Defendant to leave, and he did.

Later, after returning from taking a load of belongings to his new residence, Hagedal found his home had been burglarized. Items missing included two televisions and two laptop computers.

Defendant was contacted by police and admitted being in the home when Hagedal caught him, but denied committing the burglary. Later, he told Hagedal that he unlatched the downstairs window but did not come in to steal the items. He stated he was paid by someone to do this, but refused to identify who allegedly paid him.

Later, Hagedal and Fortunate discovered that a DVD player, universal remote, SanDisk MP3 player, and miscellaneous remote controls were also missing.

Detective Merifield, Detective More, and Officer Corbett went to

Defendant's house and made contact with him. Defendant said again that
he unlatched a window in Hagedal and Fornate's apartment but did not
steal any items. He said he could get the stolen items back. AS he was

about to sign an authorization for police to search his residence, he said he did have some of the stolen items in his apartment. He had both televisions and both computers. He said he would continue looking for the other items.

Defendant later contacted Detective Merifield and stated he wanted to make a statement. He came to the police station, where he was advised of his Miranda rights and agreed to speak to Detective Merifield.

Defendant admitted that he committed the burglary. He said he was extremely intoxicated at the time. He remembered taking the televisions and laptops and putting the smaller items outside the window.

SUZY BOYDAN
Assistant Chief Deputy County Attorney

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me this day of December, 2013.

JUSTICE OF THE PEACE

<u>ORDER</u>

Upon reading the foregoing Affidavit, the Court finds that there is probable cause to believe the above-named Defendant committed the crimes charged.

DATED this 12th day of December, 2013 at ______ (a.m./p.m.

JUSTICE OF THE PEACE