Ed McLean, District Judge Department No. 1 FILED MAR 0 8 2012 Fourth Judicial District 1 Missoula County Courthouse Missoula, Montana 59802 2 Telephone: (406) 258-4771 3 4 5 MONTANA FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, MISSOULA COUNTY 6 ) Dept. 1 7 PATTY LOVAAS, Cause No. DV-11-1139 8 Plaintiff. G OPINION AND ORDER -VS-10 COUNTY OF MISSOULA, Acting by and 11 through Jean Curtiss, Bill Carey, and Michelle Landquist as their official capacity as 12 Commissioners, Vickie Zeier in her official 13 Capacity as Clerk and Recorder, and STATE OF MONTANA, Acting by and through Linda 14 McCullough in her official capacity as 15 Secretary of State, 16 Defendants. 17 Plaintiff's Complaint for Declaratory Judgment and Injunctive Relief 18 19 asks the Court to "prevent the defendants from implementing the property tax 20 increases as a result of the county wide mail-in election which were certified 21 as to the accuracy of the results in the May 3, 2011 County mail-in election." 22 23 The May 3, 2011 election was a local school levy election conducted by a

local election administrator that is responsible for the administration of local

elections and certified by the local school trustees.

OPINION AND ORDER

24

25

26

The Court having received <u>Plaintiff's Proposed Order to Open Ballots for Inspection and Recount</u> (Ct.Doc.28), the Court will treat the proposed order as if filed as a motion. The primary focus of the Plaintiff's proposed order is a request that this Court order opening of "processed and accepted ballots and undeliverable ballots" for her and her group of volunteers to personally inspect. The Plaintiff's proposed order is based on Plaintiff's Request for Production No. 7 which states:

Please arrange a convenient time and place for all voter envelopes, processed and accepted ballots and undeliverable ballots to be reviewed by Plaintiff. The estimated time to complete the review is 3 days.

The County responded with: "Missoula County objects to this request as the documents are sealed." Plaintiff replied that MCA §13-1-109 states:

Election records open to public. Unless specifically provided otherwise, all records pertaining to elector registration and elections are public records.

However, MCA § 13-1-303 provides an exception to § 13-1-109, by stating in relevant part that:

The voted ballots, detached stubs, unvoted ballots, and unused ballots from an election must be kept in the unopened packages received from the elections judges for a period of 12 months. The packages may be opened only when an order for opening is given by the proper official either for a recount procedure or to process provisional ballots.

This statute clearly provides very limited circumstances under which the stubs and ballots may be unsealed, i.e. "for a recount procedure or to process

provisional ballots." Provisional ballots are not at issue. Moreover, there is no provision in the law to open sealed ballots for public inspection, and allowing the Plaintiff and her group of volunteers to open and inspect the sealed ballots is not going to happen under any foreseeable circumstances.

If on the other hand, Plaintiff wants a recount by the proper officials, she will need to comply with all of the procedures required under Title 13 for seeking a recount procedure by the proper officials under §§ 13-16-301, et seq.,

MCA § 13-16-310(b) provides that an elector may apply for an order directing the county recount board to make a recount. The application must be filed "within 5 days after the canvas of election records." The Court was not provided with the date of the canvass of election records but assumes that since the election at issue was held on May 3, 2011, more than 5 days have passed since the canvass. If the Plaintiff wants the county recount board to do a recount of the votes, she would be required to prove to this Court that there is probable cause to conduct a recount pursuant to § 13-16-307, and Plaintiff will need to pay for the recount by depositing funds with the county recount board in the amount of the probable expense of making a recount.

This Order does not address the appropriateness of the filing of a

petition seeking a recount more than 5 days after the election, Nor does the Court address whether a recount procedure is warranted. Plaintiff will first have to prove to this Court there is probable cause to order the county recount board to conduct a recount based on the Plaintiff's allegations there are verifiable "known discrepancies in the voter data base and that this controversy can only be justly adjudicated by inspection of the ballots, and elector registrations, the undeliverable ballots and the voter envelopes."

As to Plaintiff's discovery motion to be allowed to personally inspect voter envelopes under the public records statute cited above, Missoula County objects to the Plaintiff's request because the request is vague. The Court agrees with the County. If the Plaintiff is able to specify the envelopes requested, such request should be made to Missoula County in an amended request for production.

Therefore, for the above reasons, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Plaintiff's "Proposed Order" is **DENIED**.

SO ORDERED and DATED this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of March, 2012.

ED MCZEAN, District Judge

cc: Dorothy Brownlow, Missoula Deputy County Attorney Patty Lovaas, pro se

Page 4